UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW HAMPSHIRE

Andy Martin

v.

Case No. 11-cv-129-PB

Jack Kimball, et al.

ORDER

In an order denying the plaintiff leave to file without prejudice dated April 5, 2011, the court noted that should the plaintiff file a new motion for leave in the future, it must be accompanied by an in forma pauperis motion or a filing fee, the latter of which would be returned to him if leave to file was denied. (Doc. No. 2). A second application for leave to file was denied by endorsed order dated April 29, 2011, and judgment entered on April 30, 2011. (Doc. No. 8). As the plaintiff submitted the \$350 filing fee on March 21, 2011, the filing fee shall be returned to him as required by the court's order of April 5, 2011.

SO ORDERED.

Daniel (J. lynch

United States Magistrate Judge

Date:

cc: Andy Martin, pro se

Bonnie S. Reed, Financial Administrator